(c) what is the number of Head j Clerks, Works Assistants Grade-I and Junior Accounts Officers who were offered promotion to the post of Junior Accounts Officer and Administrative Officer in 1985, 1988 and 19P.7 and out of them how many refused the promotion during the said period and how many were debarred from future promotion as per Government orders of October, 1981; and

(d) how many of them were again offered promotion during the debarred period by violating Government'! order and what action is being taken against the officer concerned in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE iN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTR! OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI) (a) Information is as under:—

Name of post	Number of vacancies	Dates from which vacant	
1. Head Clerk	7	November, 1984	1
		July, 1986	1
		October, 1986	1
		November, 1986	1
		December, 1986	1
		February, 1987	1
		April, 1987	1
2. Works Assistant Grade-I	5	June, 1986	2
		July, 1986	1
		January, 1987	1
		February, 1987	1
3. Junior Accounts Officer	3	September, 1985	1
		May, 1986	1
		April, 1987	1

Selections were made against the vacancies but fhe selected candidate did not join.

(b) One Junior Accounts Officer promoted in December, 1985 accepted the offer, but could not join because he was not relieved by his Head of Department due to exigencies of •work. The P°st cannot be offered to others.

(c) (i) One Head Clerk and six Works Assistant Grade-I were offered promotion to the post °* Junior Accounts Officer in 1985.

(ii) Two Head Clerks and six Works Assistant Grade-I were promoted as Junior Accountg Officers and six Junior Accounts Officers and three Works Assistant Grade-I to the post of Administrative Officer in 1986.

(iii) One Works Assistant Grade-I was promoted as Junior Accounts Officer and one Junior Accounts Officer was promoted as Administrative Officer in 1987.

Four Works Assistant Grade-I refused promtion during 1985 to 1987 and all of them were debarred from promotion for one year.

(d) One officer was promoted after cancelling the debarment orders, as a compassionate view was taken on hig representation on the ground that he had only one year to igo before retirement.

Promotion in Archaeological Survey of India

1197. SHRI M. KADHARSHA. Will the Minister ,of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of Head

Clerks/Works Assistant Grade I who got promotion order to the posts of Junior Accounts Officer in Archaeological Survey of India during the last three years;

(b) what is the number of staff who refused promotion to the post of Junior Accounts Officer and how many of them were debarred from future promotion, for a period of one year under the rules;

(c) whether it is a fact that most of them got promotion before completion of one year of their refusal to the post of Administrative Officer; and

(d) if so, how many were given such promotion and what were the reasons for the violation of Government's orders?

THE MINISTER OF STATE iN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) Three Head Clerks and sixteen Works Assistant Grade-I got promotion to the post of Junior Accounts Officer during the last 3 years.

(b) Nine Works Assistant Grade-I refused promotion for the Post of Junior Accounts Officer during the ygar 1984 to 1986 and all of them were debarred for promotion for one year.

(c) and (d) No, Sir. Only three of them were promoted subsequently to the post of Administrative Officer. The field of eligibility for promotion both to the post of Administrative Officer and Junior Accounts Officer includes Works Assistants a'nd these three were the seniormost. As the debarment was for the post of Junior Accounts Officer only, no violation of the Rules occurred in promoting these officers to the post of Administrative Officer.

Import of Cellular Mobile Radio Telephone

1198. SHRI RAOOF VALIULLAH: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 166 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 15th April, 1987 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Limited propose to import Cellular Mobile Radio Telephones worth rupees 9 crores from foreign companies;

(b) whether orders for the purchase of Cellular Mobile Radio System have been placed and if so, what are the names of the companies anj by when the equipment will be made available to the subscribers;

(c) what prompted Government to incur expenditure on such non.essential items which are needed only for a small section of wealthy users in
| the metropolitan cities, to the detriment of efficient telecommunication services; and

(d) whether Government propose to re-examine the proposal and dis courage the introduction of car phones at this stage?

THE MINISTER OF STATE iN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SANTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) Yes, Sir. A tender for installation of Cellular Mobile Radio System in Bombay ig two phases i.e. of 1200 units and 3800 additional units has been invited. This has been received and is under evaluation. Cost of the system will be known after evaluation completed and decision is taken

(b) No, Sir.

(c) It is considered that the service is essential for a section of the people who have to be in contact while there are on move. From the experience of other countries, this service is not only extremely popular but also economically viable. It is no^ only intended for users who are wealthy